United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,893	10/29/2001	Eduard K. de Jong	P-6992	2395
24209 7590 01/29/2007 GUNNISON MCKAY & HODGSON, LLP			EXAMINER	
1900 GARDEN ROAD SUITE 220 MONTEREY, CA 93940			BATES, KEVIN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/29/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/014,893	DE JONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Bates	2155	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Dec 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•	
Disposition of Claims		·	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the to discount of the terming of the light of the drawing of the dra	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12-1-06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/014,893

Art Unit: 2155

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on December 18, 2006.

The Information Disclosure Statement received December 1, 2006 has been considered.

Claims 1-6 have been amended.

Claims 7-10 have been newly added.

Claims 1-10 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reiche (6092196) in view of Rode (6970904).

Regarding claims 1, 3, and 5, Reiche teaches a method for controlling user access to distributed resources on a data communications network (Column 8, lines 9 – 13), the method comprising:

Receiving, by a resource server peer group, a resource request, said resource request including a rights key credential (Column 9, lines 38 – 42), said rights key credential comprising:

at least one key to provide access to a resource on said data communications network (Column 9, lines 3 – 5); and a resource identifier (Column 9, lines 45 – 46), said resource identifier comprising a resource server peer group ID and a <u>user ID</u> (Column 8, lines 65 – 66), said resource server peer group ID identifying said resource server peer group (Column 10, lines 50 – 63), said resource server peer group comprising at least one server that maintains a mapping between a <u>user ID</u> and said at least one key (Column 8, line 64 – Column 9, line 6; Column 10, lines 39 – 49); and

providing access to said resource by said resource server peer group when said at least one key (Column 9, lines 63 – 66) matches an identifier in a set of identifiers associated with said resource (Column 10, lines 50 – 63).

Reiche does not explicitly indicate that the <u>user ID</u> is a randomized user <u>ID</u>.

Rode teaches a system for controlling access to system resources (Abstract) that includes a unique identifier for the user as taught in Reiche, but further teaches that the identifier can be a uniformly chosen random number (Column 2, lines 45 - 54).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rode's teaching of choosing a random number for the unique identifier in order to allow an identifier be chosen without contain any personal information about the user, allowing the system to keep the user anonymous.

Regarding claims 2, 4, and 6, Reiche teaches a method for controlling user access to distributed resources on a data communications network (Column 8, lines 9 – 13), the method comprising: receiving, by a resource server peer group, a resource request, said resource request including a rights key credential (Column 9, lines 38 –

Art Unit: 2155

42), said rights key credential comprising: at least one key to provide access to a resource on said data communications network (Column 9, lines 3 – 5) each of said at least one resource stored on a separate secure device (Figure 1, elements 120 and 150); and a resource identifier (Column 9, lines 45 – 46), said resource identifier comprising a resource server peer group ID and a <u>user ID</u> (Column 8, lines 65 – 66), said resource server peer group ID identifying a resource server peer group (Column 10, lines 50 – 63), said resource server peer group comprising at least one server that maintains a mapping between a <u>user ID</u> and said at least one key (Column 10, lines 39 – 49); and providing access to said resource by said resource server peer group when said at least one key (Column 9, lines 63 – 66) matches an identifier in a set of identifiers associated with said resource (Column 10, lines 50 – 63).

Reiche does not explicitly indicate that the user ID is a randomized user ID.

Rode teaches a system for controlling access to system resources (Abstract) that includes a unique identifier for the user as taught in Reiche, but further teaches that the identifier can be a uniformly chosen random number (Column 2, lines 45 – 54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Rode's teaching of choosing a random number for the unique identifier in order to allow an identifier be chosen without contain any personal information about the user, allowing the system to keep the user anonymous.

Regarding claims 7 and 9, Reiche teaches the method of claims 1 and 2, wherein said rights key credential further comprises a nested credential referring to at

Application/Control Number: 10/014,893

.

Art Unit: 2155

least one credential relating to a resource delivery mechanism (Column 10, lines 50 – 67).

Regarding claims 8 and 10, Reiche teaches the method of claims 7 and 9, wherein said providing access further comprises using said resource delivery mechanism.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the amendments to claims 1 and 2, identify the request as a single request. The examiner disagrees, the amendments to not differentiate the claims from the reference Reiche in terms of Reiche's negotiation process for obtaining access to resources in the system. Also, Reiche does disclose a single request for a resource, there are just different communication steps as part of that single resource request, though it still meets the limitations of the claimed invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,893

Art Unit: 2155

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KB

ΚB

January 18, 2007

SALEH NAJJAH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER